arXiv:1210.1109v1 [gr-qc] 3 Oct 2012

On universality of the pure Lovelock gravity for the generalized Nariai and Bertotti-Robinson solutions

Naresh Dadhich
Centre for Theoretical Physics, Jamia Millia Islamia, New Delhi 110025
&
IUCAA, Post Bag 4, Ganeshkhind, Pune 411 007, India
nkd@iucaa.ernet.in

Josep M. Pons
DECM and ICC, Facultat de Física, Universitat de Barcelona,
Diagonal 647, E-08028 Barcelona, Catalonia, Spain.
pons@ecm.ub.es

Abstract

The product spacetimes of constant curvature describe in the Einstein gravity, which is linear in the Riemann curvature, the Nariai metric which is a solution of the Λ-vacuum when the two curvatures are equal, $k_1 = k_2$, while it is the Bertotti-Robinson metric describing the uniform electric field when the curvatures are equal and opposite, $k_1 = -k_2$. We prove that this is indeed true in general for the pure Lovelock gravity of any order N, where the action involves only the Nth order term in the Lovelock Lagrangian, in $d = 2N + 2$ dimension. We thus establish universality of these spacetimes for the pure Lovelock gravity. Besides we also discuss some other interesting aspects including some new pure Lovelock vacuum solutions of the type $(dS/AdS)_2 \times E^{d-2}$ and $M^2 \times K^{d-2}$ where M, E and K refer respectively to Minkowski, Euclidean and space of constant curvature. We also consider these product spacetimes in full generality for the Einstein-Guass-Bonnet gravity.

1 Introduction

It has recently been established [1] that the pure Lovelock gavity which is the Nth order polynomial in Riemann curvature has universal behaviour in $d = 2N+1, 2N+2$ dimensions where $N = 1$ is the usual Einstein gravity. For instance, it is well known that vacuum is trivial flat in 3 dimension; i.e. $R_{ab} = 0$ implies $R_{abcd} = 0$ while it becomes dynamical in 4 dimension. By universality we mean that this is true in general for any Lovelock order N. That is, vacuum as defined by $R^{(N)}_{ab} = 0$ is the Lovelock flat, $R^{(N)}_{abcd} = 0$ in the critical odd dimension $d = 2N + 1$. Here Nth order analogue of Riemann is as defined in [2] by the property that the trace of its Bianchi derivative vanishes and thereby yielding the divergence free Nth order analogue of the Einstein tensor, $G^{(N)}_{ab}$. It also turns out that static pure Lovelock black hole solution asymptotically goes over to the corresponding Einstein solution [3, 4] even though the equation is free of the Einstein term. Not only that its temperature and entropy bear the universal relationship to the event horzon radius [5]. That is, entropy always goes as r_h^2 in $d = 2N + 2$ dimension.

It would therefore be pertinent to probe this universality of the Lovelock gravity in various situations. Here we wish to employ the product spacetimes of constant curvature for this purpose. We would consider spacetimes $\mathcal{M}^d = M^2 \times \Sigma^{d-2}$, product of two spaces of constant curvature (the former is dS/AdS/flat while the latter is a sphere or hyperboloid of constant radius, or Euclidean space). For this ansatz in 4 dimension, there are two well known solutions described by the Nariai metric [6] representing the Λ-vacuum when the curvatures are equal, $k_1 = k_2$ and the Robinson-Bertotti [7, 8] metric describing the uniform electric field when the curvatures are equal and opposite, $k_1 = -k_2$. It turns out that the Nariai metric, unlike dS/AdS is not conformally flat while the Bertotti-Robinson (BR) unlike other Einstein-Maxwell solutions is indeed conformally flat. We would now like to study their analogues in the pure Lovelock gravity to establish their universality.

The paper is organised as follows. In the next section we set up the Lovelock framework for the general case that includes both Nariai and BR solutions which is followed by establishment of their universality in $d = 2N+2$ dimension and discussion of some particular cases. Next we shall discuss some new solutions, for instance it is possible to have a non-trivial solution even in the critical odd dimension $d = 2N + 1$ with a finetuning between Λ and electric field. There also occur some interesting pure Lovelock vacuum spacetimes corresponding to one of the curvatures vanishing. In section 5, we make a thorough analysis of the Einstein-Gauss-Bonnet case with cosmological constant. We conclude with a discussion.

2 Nariai-Bertotti-Robinson solutions for the general Lovelock Lagrangian

The general Lovelock Lagrangian in d dimensions is a polynomial of the Riemman tensor

$$\frac{1}{2\kappa_d^2}\sum_{p=0}^{N}\frac{(d-2p-1)!}{(d-1)!}c_p\mathcal{L}_p, \tag{2.1}$$

with $N \leq \left\lfloor \frac{d-1}{2} \right\rfloor$ to have nontrivial dynamics[1], and where $\mathcal{L}_p$ is a monomial of order p,

$$\mathcal{L}_p = \frac{1}{2^p}\sqrt{-g}\,\delta^{\mu_1\cdots\mu_p\nu_1\cdots\nu_p}_{\rho_1\cdots\rho_p\sigma_1\cdots\sigma_p} R_{\mu_1\nu_1}{}^{\rho_1\sigma_1}\cdots R_{\mu_p\nu_p}{}^{\rho_p\sigma_p}\,, \tag{2.2}$$

where $\kappa_d := \sqrt{8\pi G_d}$. We take units such that $2\kappa_d^2 = 1$. The δ symbol is defined by

$$\delta^{\mu_1\cdots\mu_p\nu_1\cdots\nu_p}_{\rho_1\cdots\rho_p\sigma_1\cdots\sigma_p} := (2p)!\,\delta^{\mu_1}_{[\rho_1}\cdots\delta^{\nu_p}_{\sigma_p]}, \tag{2.3}$$

so that it takes values 0 and ± 1. Note that c_p is a coupling constant[2]. with dimension $(l^2)^{p-1}$.

We will look for solutions under the general ansatz

$$ds^2 = -A(r)\,dt^2 + B(r)\,dr^2 + C(r)\,d\Omega^2_{(d-2)}\,, \tag{2.4}$$

where $\Omega_{(d-2)}$ is the $(d-2)$-sphere. This ansatz is a consistent truncation; i.e. that the truncated equation of motion (EOM) of the original Lagrangian is the same as the EOM of the truncated Lagrangian of the theory, because there is no source in the EOM of the original Lagrangian to enforce the appearance of non-diagonal terms for the metric nor to modify the spherical symmetry of the $d-2$ dimensional sector. Under this anzatz, the only nonvanishing components of the Riemman tensor are:

$$\begin{aligned}
R_{01}{}^{01} &= \frac{A(r)A'(r)B'(r) + B(r)\Big(A'(r)^2 - 2A(r)A''(r)\Big)}{4A(r)^2B(r)^2} =: D(0,1)\,,\\
R_{0a}{}^{0a} &= -\frac{A'(r)C'(r)}{4A(r)B(r)C(r)} =: D(0,a)\,,\\
R_{1a}{}^{1a} &= \frac{C(r)B'(r)C'(r) - 2B(r)C(r)C''(r) + B(r)C'(r)^2}{4B(r)^2C(r)^2} =: D(0,b)\,,\\
R_{ab}{}^{ab} &= \frac{1}{C(r)} - \frac{C'(r)^2}{4B(r)C(r)^2} =: D(a,b)\,, \quad (a \neq b)\,,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

---

[1] For $d = 2N$ the term $\mathcal{L}_N$ is an Euler density, which is a total divergence.

[2] The factorial coefficients in (2.1) are aimed to match for the coefficients c_p of the standard results in the literature. In our conventions $c_0 = -2\Lambda$, where Λ is the cosmological constant, and to describe the Einstein-Hilbert Lagrangian we must use $c_1 = (d-1)(d-2)$.

with $a,b = 2,3,...,d-2$. The density factor becomes (up to the volume of the $(d-2)$-sphere, here irrelevant)

$$\sqrt{-g} \to \sqrt{A(r)B(r)}C(r)^{\frac{d-2}{2}}\,.$$

Plugging these components into (2.2) we obtain a Lagrangian in terms of the fields $A(r), B(r), C(r)$. Defining

$$\mathcal{L}_T(d,p) = \frac{(d-2p-1)!}{(d-1)!}\mathcal{L}_p|_{\text{trunc.}}$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_T(d,p) = & \frac{\sqrt{-g}}{(d-2p)(d-1)}\Big(D(a,b)^{p-2}\Big(2p\,D(0,1)D(a,b) \\ & + 4p(p-1)D(0,a)D(1,a) + 2p(d-2p)D(a,b)(D(0,a)+D(1,a)) \\ & + (d-2p-1)(d-2p)D(a,b)^2\Big)\Big)\,. \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

Now the truncation of (2.1) becomes

$$\mathcal{L}_T = \sum_{p=0}^{N} c_p \mathcal{L}_T(d,p)\,.$$

A source of constant electric field in the radial direction can be easily acommodated in the framework (2.4). The Maxwell Lagrangian $-\frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}g^{\mu\rho}g^{\nu\sigma}F_{\rho\sigma}$ becomes, for $F_{01}=E$,

$$\mathcal{L}_M = \sqrt{-g}\frac{E^2}{2\,A(r)B(r)}\,. \tag{2.7}$$

where E^2 is just the square of E.

Had we considered an hyperboloid instead of a sphere in (2.4), the only difference would have been that $C(r) \to -C(r)$ in (2.5). In general we consider the product spacetime $\mathcal{M}^d = M^2 \times \Sigma^{d-2}$ where Σ^{d-2} is of constant curvature. Then the EOM demand that M^2 is also of constant curvature, $dS/AdS/$flat [9]. We then write the metric,

$$ds^2 = -(1-k_1r^2)\,dt^2 + \frac{1}{1-k_1r^2}\,dr^2 \pm \frac{1}{k_2}\,d\Sigma^2_{(d-2)}, \tag{2.8}$$

where in the last term if $\Sigma_{(d-2)}$ is the sphere $S_{(d-2)}$ we will use the plus sign and $k_2 > 0$, whereas if $\Sigma_{(d-2)}$ is the hyperboloid $H_{(d-2)}$ we will use the minus sign and $k_2 < 0$. In both cases the EOM take the same form

$$\sum_{p=0}^{N}(d-2p-1)c_p\,k_2^p - \frac{(d-1)}{2}E^2 = 0\,, \tag{2.9}$$

and

$$(2\sum_{p=1}^{N} p\, c_p\, k_2^{p-1})\, k_1 + \sum_{p=0}^{N} (d-2p-1)(d-2p-2) c_p\, k_2^{p} + \frac{(d-1)(d-2)}{2} E^2 = 0\,. \quad (2.10)$$

For generic values of the coefficents one can isolate k_1,

$$k_1 = -\frac{\sum_{p=0}^{N} (d-2p-1)(d-2p-2) c_p\, k_2^{p} + \frac{(d-1)(d-2)}{2} E^2}{2\sum_{p=1}^{N} p\, c_p\, k_2^{p-1}}\,, \quad (2.11)$$

with $k_2 > 0$ for the spherical case and $k_2 < 0$ for the hyperboloid case. Actually, the case $k_2 = 0$ is also described by these equations (2.9) and (2.10). In fact, $k_2 = 0$ means that the sphere or the hyperbolid has become Euclidean space (the curvature radius becoming infinite), and the ansatz (2.8) is no longer convenient. It must be modified with $dE^2_{(d-2)}$ for the last term. Then one can check that the EOM for the Euclidean case coincide with the EOM (2.9) and (2.10) when $k_2 = 0$, that is, $E^2 + 4\Lambda = 0$, and $(d-1)(d-2)(E^2 - 4\Lambda) + 4\, c_1 k_1 = 0$.

Summarizing, the EOM (2.9) and (2.10) are general for the ansatz $\mathcal{M}^d = M^2 \times \Sigma^{d-2}$, with $M^2 = -(1 - k_1 r^2)\, dt^2 + \dfrac{1}{1 - k_1 r^2}\, dr^2$, and Σ^{d-2} being the constant curvature surface: sphere, hyperboloid or Euclidean space.

The Λ vacuum Nariai solution for the Einstein-Lovelock gravity has been considered in [10] and it follows from (2.9) and (2.11) in the limit $E = 0$. By eliminating E or Λ, we can also write

$$k_1 = -\frac{\sum_{p=0}^{N} (d-2p-1)(d-p-2) c_p\, k_2^{p}}{\sum_{p=1}^{N} p\, c_p\, k_2^{p-1}}\,. \quad (2.12)$$

or

$$k_1 = k_2\Big((d-2N-1) + 2\,\frac{\sum_{p=1}^{N-1} p(N-p)\, c_p\, k_2^{p-1}}{\sum_{p=1}^{N} p\, c_p\, k_2^{p-1}}\Big) - \frac{(d-1)(d-2)E^2}{2\sum_{p=1}^{N} p\, c_p\, k_2^{p-1}} \quad (2.13)$$

The remaining EOM is that of the electromagnetic field. It is straighforward to verify that EOM for the Maxwell field $\partial_\mu(\sqrt{-g}F^{\mu\nu}) = 0$ is trivally satisfied for constant $F_{01} = E$. This is the general electrovac Einstein-Lovelock solution (with sum over c_p) which is the electromagnetic (Bertotti-Robinson) generalization of the Nariai solution obtained in [10].

In the next section, we shall specialize to the pure Lovelock gravity and then establish the universality of these solutions in $d = 2N + 2$ dimension.

3 Universality of the pure Lovelock solutions in $d = 2N + 2$ and $d = 2N + 1$ dimension

For the Lovelock solutions we have $c_0 = -2\Lambda$ and only $c_N \neq 0$. To be specific, we will consider $c_N > 0$, then eq.(2.9) gives

$$(d - 2N - 1)\, c_N\, k_2^N = 2(d - 1)(\Lambda + \frac{E^2}{4}) \tag{3.14}$$

and eq.(2.11),

$$k_1 = -\frac{(d - 2N - 1)(d - 2N - 2)}{2N} k_2 - \frac{(d - 1)(d - 2)}{2N\, c_N\, k_2^{N-1}} (\frac{E^2}{2} - 2\Lambda)\,. \tag{3.15}$$

Alternatively, using eq.(3.14) to eliminate either E^2 or Λ, k_1 can also be written as

$$\begin{aligned} k_1 &= -\frac{-2(d - 1)(d - 2)\Lambda + (d - 2N - 1)(d - N - 2)\, c_N\, k_2^N}{N\, c_N\, k_2^{N-1}} \\ &= (d - 2N - 1)k_2 - \frac{(d - 1)(d - 2)E^2}{2N\ c_N\, k_2^{N-1}}\,. \end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

3.1 2N+2

Now for $d = 2N + 2$ we can combine k_1 and k_2 together in one equation. After some simple manipulations we write

$$k_1 + k_2 = 4\Lambda\, (\frac{2N + 1}{c_N})\, k_2^{1-N} \tag{3.17}$$

$$k_1 - k_2 = -E^2\, (\frac{2N + 1}{c_N})\, k_2^{1-N} \tag{3.18}$$

and therefore

$$(k_1 + k_2)E^2 = -4(k_1 - k_2)\Lambda\,. \tag{3.19}$$

It is interesting to see that eq.(3.19) beautifully demonstrates universality of the generalized Nariai and Bertotti-Robinson solution as it is $k_1 = k_2$ for the former while $k_= - k_2$ for the latter in all $d = 2N + 2$ dimension. Eq.(3.19) remains true for all $d = 2N + 2$ dimension. We get the same relations between the two curvatures for the generalized solutions in $d = 2N + 2$ dimension as that for the original 4-dimensional Nariai and Bertotti-Robinson solutions. For the former, $E = 0$, it is always $k_1 = k_2$ while for the latter $k_1 = -k_2$ when $\Lambda = 0$.

The critical condition is $E^2 \geq 0$ which requires $k_2^{N-1}(k_2 - k_1) \geq 0$ and we have the following cases:

(a) $k_2 > 0$. Then $k_1 \leq k_2$ and $\Lambda \geq 0$ for $k_2 \geq |k_1|$ and vice versa for $k_2 \leq |k_1|$.

(b) $k_2 < 0$. Then if N is odd, $k_1 < 0$, $|k_1| \geq |k_2|$, and $\Lambda < 0$, and if N is even, then for $k_1 \geq |k_2|$, $\Lambda \leq 0$ and for $|k_1| \leq |k_2|$, $\Lambda \geq 0$.

(c) $k_2 = 0$. Either $N = 1$, then $E^2 = -4\Lambda = -k_1$, $k_1 < 0$, or $N \neq 1$, then $E = \Lambda = 0$ and k_1 remains arbitrary. The former generalizes a Plebanski-Hacyan solution found in $d = 4$ [11], [12]. The latter is indeed a very simple and interesting spacetime which is an exact solution of pure Lovelock vacuum equation. We may wonder what does it represent as there is no visible source for it in terms of mass, electric field or Λ except the undetermined constant curvature k_1 of $(dS/AdS)_2$. This is similar to a black hole in the usual 4 dimensional spacetime as a Gauss-Bonnet vacuum soultion of 6-dimensional product spacetime $\mathcal{M}^4 \times \Sigma^2$ where the latter is a space of constant curvature [13]. In this solution $\mathcal{M}^4$ is completely free of any matter and however the black hole is caused by the constant curvature of 2-space and Λ and their specific finetuning with the Gauss-Bonnet coupling constant α. Here the constant curvature of the lower dimensional spacetime produces a pure Lovelock vacuum of the entire d-dimensional spacetime. It is the pure Lovelock vacuum solution which is a product spacetime, $(dS/AdS)_2 \times E^{d-2}$. We shall take it and the other similar cases separately later.

(d) $k_1 = 0$. Then $E^2 = 4\Lambda = (\frac{c_N}{2N+1})\,k_2^N$ and so $k_2 > 0$ or N even. The case with $k_2 > 0$ also generalizes another Plebanski-Hacyan solution in $d = 4$, [11], [12]. The case, $k_1 = 0$ and $k_2 > 0$, has also been studied as a string dust distribution where $\rho = -p_r = k_2$ as an electrogravity dual of flat spacetime [9] without Λ and E.

3.2 d=2N+1

Then we have

$$E^2 = -4\Lambda = -\frac{c_N k_1 k_2^{N-1}}{2N-1}, \ \ \Lambda < 0\,. \tag{3.20}$$

For $E > 0$, if $k_1 < 0$, $k_2 > 0$ or N odd, while for $k_1 > 0$, N even and $k_2 < 0$. As before the interesting vacuum solutions occur for $N > 1$ where one can have either $k_1 = 0$ or $k_2 = 0$ for which both E and Λ vanish. These solutions will be taken up separately later.

4 Pure Lovelock solutions in general

4.1 $N = 1$

In this case, analysis of eq.(3.14) and eq.(3.15) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \text{sign}\,(k_2) &= \text{sign}\,(\Lambda + \frac{E^2}{4}) \\ \text{sign}\,(k_1) &= \text{sign}\,(\Lambda - (d-3)\frac{E^2}{4})\,, \end{aligned} \tag{4.21}$$

and we infer

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda \geq (d-3)\frac{E^2}{4} &\implies k_2 > 0,\ k_1 \geq 0 \\ -\frac{E^2}{4} < \Lambda < (d-3)\frac{E^2}{4} &\implies k_2 > 0,\ k_1 < 0 \\ \Lambda \leq -\frac{E^2}{4} &\implies k_2 \leq 0,\ k_1 < 0\,. \end{aligned} \tag{4.22}$$

Note also that the Minkowski background ($k_1 = k_2 = 0$) is only attainable for $\Lambda = E^2 = 0$.

4.2 $N > 1$

Let us consider first the case $\Lambda + \frac{E^2}{4} = 0$. Eq.(3.14) imposes then $k_2 = 0$, and consistency with eq.(3.14) demands $\Lambda = E = 0$ with k_1 remaining arbitrary.

In the case $\Lambda + \frac{E^2}{4} \neq 0$, k_2 is obtained from eq.(3.14). Isolating c_N from eq.(3.14) and inserting it in eq.(3.16) one obtains for k_1, as function of k_2, Λ and E^2,

$$k_1 = -\frac{d-2N-1}{N}\Big(\frac{(d-N-2)E^2 - 4N\Lambda}{E^2 + 4\Lambda}\Big)k_2\,. \tag{4.23}$$

Keeping with $\Lambda + \frac{E^2}{4} \neq 0$, eq.(3.14) allows for a straightforward analysis of different cases for k_1, k_2. Instead, we will discuss the generalization of the Nariai and Bertotti-Robinson solutions.

4.3 Nariai and Bertotti-Robinson solutions

Now we specialize to the case where either E vanishes (Nairai solutions) or Λ vanishes (Bertotti-Robinson solutions). One can rewrite eq.(4.23) as

$$(k_1 + \frac{(d-2N-1)(d-N-2)}{N}\,k_2)\,E^2 = -4\,(k_1 - (d-2N-1)\,k_2)\,\Lambda\,, \tag{4.24}$$

which is a generalization of eq.(3.19). We obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{\text{Nariai solutions}}: &\quad k_1 = (d-2N-1)\,k_2\,, \\ \underline{\text{Bertotti}-\text{Robinson solutions}}: &\quad k_1 = -\frac{(d-2N-1)(d-N-2)}{N}\,k_2\,. \end{aligned} \tag{4.25}$$

4.4 Conformally flat solutions for pure Lovelock

It is easy to see that for the metric (2.8), the Weyl curvature is proportional to $k_1 + k_2$ and hence it can only vanish when $k_1 + k_2 = 0$ which was the condition for the Bertotti-Robinson soultion describing a uniform electric field in the 4-dimensional spacetime.

Using eq.(4.23) we infer,

$$\underline{\text{Conformally flat spacetime}}: \ (k_1 + k_2 = 0) \ \Leftrightarrow \ \Lambda = \frac{(d-2N-2)(d-N-1)}{N(d-2N)}\frac{E^2}{4}\,, \tag{4.26}$$

which contains as a particular case the Bertotti-Robinson solution ($\Lambda = 0$) for $d = 2N+2$. It is clear from eq.(4.23) that when $E^2 + 4\Lambda = 0$, then $d = 2N+1$ and it would be conformally flat if in addition $k_1 + k_2 = 0$, then in view of eq.(3.20), either $k_1 < 0$ or N is even so as to keep $E^2 > 0$.

5 Nariai and Bertotti-Robinson solutions for Einstein-Gauss-Bonnet gravity

Let is apply the general equations (2.9) and (2.11) to the case $N = 2$ in arbitrary $d \geq 6$ dimensions. Taking $c_0 = -2\Lambda$, $c_1 = (d-1)(d-2)$, and $c_2 = (d-1)(d-2)(d-3)(d-4)\,\alpha$, the Lagrangian (2.1) with the Maxwell term is

$$\mathcal{L} = \sqrt{-g}\Big(-2\Lambda + R + \alpha\,(R^2 - 4R_\mu{}^\nu R_\nu{}^\mu + R_{\mu\nu}{}^{\rho\sigma}R_{\rho\sigma}{}^{\mu\nu}) - \frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}g^{\mu\rho}g^{\nu\sigma}F_{\rho\sigma}\Big)\,. \tag{5.27}$$

Then the EOM give,

$$k_2 = \frac{E^2 + 4\Lambda}{(d-2)(d-3) \pm \sqrt{(d-3)(d-2)\left((d-2)(d-3) + 2(d-5)(d-4)(E^2+4\Lambda)\alpha\right)}}$$

which requires

$$(d-2)(d-3) + 2(d-5)(d-4)(E^2 + 4\Lambda)\alpha \geq 0 \tag{5.28}$$

for k_2 to be real, and k_1 is given by

$$k_1 = -\frac{2(d-4)(d-3)k_2((d-6)(d-5)k_2\,\alpha + 1) + E^2 - 4\Lambda}{8(d-4)(d-3)k_2\,\alpha + 4}$$

Now since we have the additional GB coupling parameter, α, which allows much room for manipulation to have various possibilities of conformally flat solutions by appropriately fine tuning Λ and α. The conformally flat condition ($k_1 + k_2 = 0$) implies a relationship among the parameters Λ, α and E^2 and we write

$$\alpha = \frac{2(d-2)^2(d(d-7)+14)((d-4)E^2 - 4\Lambda)}{(d-3)(d-4)\Big((d-3)(d-6)E^2 - 8(d-4)\Lambda\Big)^2}$$

which satisfies (5.28) and

$$k_2 = -k_1 = \frac{8(d-4)\Lambda - (d-3)(d-6)E^2}{2(d-2)(d(d-7)+14)}.$$

Note that the requirement $k_2 \neq 0$ guarantees that α is well defined. In particular we see that even in absence of the electric field, a conformally flat solution exists with

$$\alpha = -\frac{(d-2)^2(d(d-7)+14)}{8(d-3)(d-4)^3\Lambda}\,.$$

For $d = 6$ we obtain, for the conformally flat condition

$$2\Lambda + 3\Lambda^2\alpha - E^2 = 0\,,$$

and

$$k_2 = -k_1 = \frac{\Lambda}{4}\,.$$

Notice the critical case $\Lambda\alpha = -\frac{2}{3}$, which yields a conformally flat solution and yet $E = 0$.

6 Special vacuum solutions arising

As we have seen above in Secs 3.1 and 3.2, there occur special cases when one of the curvatures vanishes while the other remains undetermined with both E and Λ vanishing and yet the spacetime not being trivially flat. They therefore represent pure Lovelock vacuum solutions for $d = 2N+1$, $2N+2$ for $N > 1$. We thus have for $k_2 = 0$,

$$ds^2 = -(1 - k_1 r^2)dt^2 + dr^2/(1 - k_1 r^2) + dE^2_{d-2} \tag{6.29}$$

for $d = 2N + 2$ and $N > 1$, with k_1 remaining arbitrary. This will therefore cover only $d = 6, 8, ...,$ even dimensional spacetimes. While in the case of $d = 2N + 1$ and $N > 1$, we can have either k_1 or k_2 zero and hence it gives rise to the following vacuum spacetimes: First for $k_2 = 0$,

$$ds^2 = -(1 - k_1 r^2)dt^2 + dr^2/(1 - k_1 r^2) + dE^2_{d-2} \tag{6.30}$$

and so it will cover odd dimensions, $d = 5, 7, ...,$ the complement of the above case of even dimensions. For $k_1 = 0$,

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + dr^2 + \frac{1}{k_2} d\Sigma^2_{d-2} \tag{6.31}$$

and again only for the odd dimensions, $d = 5, 7, ...,$.

Thus combining the two, there exist pure Lovelock vacuum solutions of the type $(dS/AdS)_2 \times E^{d-2}$ in all dimensions $d > 4$ while of the type $\mathcal{M}^2 \times \Sigma^{d-2}$ (where $\mathcal{M}^2$ is the Minkowski flat) can occur only in the odd dimensions $d > 4$. It would be interesting to see whether these simple pure Lovelock vacuum solutions find some applications. Of its own it is rather intriguing that constant curvature of the lower dimensional space is an exact solution of the pure Lovelock vacuum equation for the whole spacetime.

7 Discussion

We have studied here the generalization of the Nariai and Bertotti-Robinson solutions in the pure Lovelock gravity. There exist the generalized solution with both E and Λ present. It turns out that these solutions have the universal characterization for $d = 2N + 2$ dimension. That is, the Nariai is always characterized by the condition, $k_1 = k_2$ while it is $k_1 = -k_2$ for the Bertotti-Robinson. This is in line with the general view that the general gravitational behaviour is universal for pure Lovelock gravity in $d = 2N + 1$, $2N + 2$ dimensions. In $d = 2N + 1$ dimension, the solution exists for $E^2 = -4\Lambda$. For the particular cases of one of the curvatures vanishing, the solutions are generalizations of their 4 dimensional Plebanski-Hacyan analogues [11].

We have also studied the generalization of thee solutions for pure Lovelock gravities and the conditions for these solutions to be conformally flat. The case of Einstein-Guass-Bonnet gravity has been considered in full generality.

There also arise a very interesting case of both $E = \Lambda = 0$ yet the spacetime is non-trivial. It is in fact the pure Lovelock vacuum solution with $k_2 = 0$ with arbitrary k_1. It is $(dS/AdS)_2 \times E^{d-2}$ spacetime which is the d-dimensional pure Lovelock vacuum in $d = 2N + 2$ dimension for $N > 1$. Note that it is $(dS/AdS)_2$ which is the source of this vacuum spacetime. For $d{+}2N{+}1$ and $N > 1$, there exist similar vacuum solutions for either of the curvatures, k_1, k_2 vanishing. These are very simple vacuum spacetimes and it would be interesting if they may find some applications somewhere.

The Nariai and Bertotti-robinson solutions are very simple spacetimes which have attracted attention as limiting cases in many situations. The latter finds applications in various contexts as it is supposed to approximate a region near degenerate horizon of an extreme Reissner-Nordstrom black hole [14] as well as it could represent the interaction region of the Bell-Szekeres metric describing collison of shock electromagnetic plane waves [12]. The Nariai is a non-singular spherically symmetric homogeneous universe [15]. Nariai and anti-Nariai spacetimes have also been considered as limits of Schwarzschild-dS or topological black holes [16, 17]. The Bertotti-Robinson spacetime has also been used for building a model of wormhole in gluing together two Reissner-Nordstrom spacetimes [18]. What it indicates is such special simple spacetimes are very useful in appropriating in the limit many complex situations and therby they facilitate physical understanding. It is hoped that the pure Lovelock generalizations of these as well as some new simple vacuum solutions that we have found may similarly prove useful and insightful in understanding complexities of gravity in higher dimensions.

Acknowledgments

JMP acknowledges partial financial support from projects FP2010-20807-C02-01, 2009SGR502 and CPAN Consolider CSD 2007-00042.

References

[1] N. Dadhich, S. G. Ghosh and S. Jhingan, “The Lovelock gravity in the critical spacetime dimension,” Phys. Lett. B **711** (2012) 196 [arXiv:1202.4575 [gr-qc]].

[2] N. Dadhich, “Characterization of the Lovelock gravity by Bianchi derivative,” Pramana **74** (2010) 875 [arXiv:0802.3034 [gr-qc]].

[3] N. Dadhich, “On Lovelock vacuum solution,” Math. Today **26** (2011) 37 [arXiv:1006.0337 [hep-th]].

[4] N. Dadhich, J. M. Pons and K. Prabhu, “On the static Lovelock black holes,” arXiv:1201.4994 [gr-qc].

[5] N. Dadhich, J. M. Pons and K. Prabhu, “Thermodynamical universality of the Lovelock black holes,” Gen. Rel. Grav. **44** (2012) 2595 [arXiv:1110.0673 [gr-qc]].

[6] H. Nariai, Sci. Rep. Tohoku Univ. **34** (1950) 160; **35** (1951) 62.

[7] B. Bertotti, “Uniform electromagnetic field in the theory of general relativity,” Phys. Rev. **116** (1959) 1331.

[8] I. Robinson, “A Solution of the Maxwell-Einstein Equations,” Bull. Acad. Pol. Sci. Ser. Sci. Math. Astron. Phys. **7** (1959) 351.

[9] N. Dadhich, “On product space-time with 2 sphere of constant curvature,” gr-qc/0003026.

[10] H. Maeda, S. Willison and S. Ray, “Lovelock black holes with maximally symmetric horizons,” Class. Quant. Grav. **28** (2011) 165005 [arXiv:1103.4184 [gr-qc]].

[11] J. F. Plebanski and S. Hacyan, “Some excepcional electrovac type D metrics with cosmological constant”, J. Math. Phys. **20** (1979) 1004.

[12] J. B. Griffiths and J, Podolsky, “Exact spacetimes in Einstein’s Genral Relativity”, Cambridge University Press, 2009.

[13] H. Maeda and N. Dadhich, “Matter without matter: Novel Kaluza-Klein spacetime in Einstein-Gauss-Bonnet gravity,” Phys. Rev. D **75** (2007) 044007 [hep-th/0611188].

[14] B. Carter, in Black Holes, eds C. DeWitt and B. S. DeWitt (Gordon and Breach, 1973).

[15] N. Dadhich, “Nariai metric is the first example of the singularity free model,” gr-qc/0106023. N. Dadhich, “Nariai metric is the first example of singularity free model,” arxiv:gr-qc/0106023.

[16] P. H. Ginsparg and M. J. Perry, “Semiclassical Perdurance of de Sitter Space,” Nucl. Phys. B **222** (1983) 245.

[17] R. Bousso and S. W. Hawking, “Pair creation of black holes during inflation,” Phys. Rev. D **54** (1996) 6312 [gr-qc/9606052].

[18] N. V. Mitskievich, M. G. Medina Guevara and H. Vargas Rodriguez, “Nariai–Bertotti–Robinson spacetimes as a building material for one-way wormholes with horizons, but without singularity,” arXiv:0707.3193 [gr-qc].